UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,125	11/19/2003	Paul E. Jacobs	040101	9099
		3	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO			DINH, DUC Q	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/718,125	JACOBS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Duc Q. Dinh	2629	

	Duc Q. Dinh	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shate forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	ut prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consider (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	sideration and/or search (see NOT и); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying the					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14 and 26-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-25.] will not be entered, or b) □ will ded below or appended.	l be entered and an ex	planation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Duc Q Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2629					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

With respect to the 103 (a) rejection of claims 1-2, 5-14 and 26-35 by Chuang in view of Enger, the argument is persuasive, the rejection is withdrawn in view of the Applicant's agurment.

With respect to the 103(a) rejection of claims 1-6, 8-14 and 26-35 by Jellicoe in view of Enger, the examiner respectfully disagrees, as discussed, Jellicoe discloses everything except the deployed keyboard is a touch sensitive keyboard, Enger discloses in Figures 6, the displayed keypad is changed from portrait to landscape mode and the input keyboard device is a touch sensitive keyboard, i.e. output a character or number when touch an associated key of the keyboard either in portrait or landscape mode. Furthermore, as in paragraph 52, Enger teaches the keypad input device can be a touch screen; a pen and a touch (sensitive) screen display could be the input device. Therefore, It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the touch screen keyboard in the device of Jellicoe as taught by Enger for providing a portable electronic device that integrates the functionality for multiple independent devices into a single portable device, while having an ergonomic user interface (see paragraph [0016]),

With respect to claim 7, the combination of Jellicoe and Enger discloses the touch sensitive screen keyboard (see above) and Pihlaja discloses a touch sensitive display as claimed with the benefit of additional controlling for the handheld device as discussed.

The Rejection is maintained.